






October 2015 This updated version contains corrections and clarifications to the May 2015 report



CONTENTS

CONTENTS	اا
ABOUT THIS REPORT	ا
EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	1
2010 SUMMARY	1
HMONG IN WISCONSIN	3
Chart 1. Hmong Population, Wisconsin: 1990-2010	3
MAP 1. HMONG POPULATION, U.S. STATES: 2010	
Map 2. Hmong Population, Wisconsin Counties: 2010	5
Map 3. Percent Hmong, Wisconsin Counties: 2010	
CHART 2. DISTRIBUTION OF WISCONSIN'S HMONG POPULATION, WISCONSIN COUNTIES: 2010	7
HMONG IDENTIFICATION	8
CHART 3. HMONG IDENTIFICATION, WISCONSIN: 2010	
Chart 4. Racial Composition, Wisconsin: 2010.	9
CHART 5. ASIAN POPULATION COMPOSITION, WISCONSIN: 2010	
CHART 6. BIRTHPLACE OF THE HMONG POPULATION, WISCONSIN: 2006-2010	
CHART 7. YEAR OF ENTRY FOR HMONG PERSONS BORN IN ASIA, WISCONSIN: 2006-2010	12
AGE AND SEX	13
CHART 8. POPULATION BY AGE AND SEX, WISCONSIN: 2010	13
CHART 9. MEDIAN AGE BY SEX, WISCONSIN: 2010	14
MARRIAGE AND FERTILITY	15
CHART 10. MARITAL STATUS OF FEMALES AGE 15 AND OVER, WISCONSIN: 2000 & 2006-2010	15
CHART 11. MARITAL STATUS OF FEMALES BY AGE GROUP, WISCONSIN: 2006-2010	16
CHART 12. WOMEN AGE 15 TO 50 YEARS WHO HAD A BIRTH IN THE PAST 12 MONTHS & MOTHER'S MARITAL STATUS,	
WISCONSIN: 2006-2010	
CHART 13. BIRTHS IN THE PAST 12 MONTHS BY AGE OF MOTHER, WISCONSIN: 2006-2010	18
HOUSEHOLDS AND FAMILIES	19
CHART 14. TYPES OF FAMILY AND NON-FAMILY HOUSEHOLDS, WISCONSIN: 2010	19
CHART 15. AVERAGE FAMILY AND HOUSEHOLD SIZE, WISCONSIN: 2010	
CHART 16. HOUSEHOLDS WITH CHILDREN THAT WERE FEMALE HEADED AND MALE HEADED, WISCONSIN: 2010	21
HOUSING	22
CHART 17. MEDIAN HOUSING UNIT VALUE, WISCONSIN: 2006-2010	22
CHART 18. AVERAGE HOUSEHOLD SIZE BY TENURE, WISCONSIN: 2010	
CHART 19. OWNER OCCUPIED HOUSING UNITS, WISCONSIN: 1990-2010	24
INCOME AND POVERTY	25
Chart 20. Median Household Income, Wisconsin: 1989-2010	25
CHART 21. MEDIAN HOUSEHOLD AND FAMILY INCOME, WISCONSIN: 2006-2010	26
Chart 22. Household Income Distribution, Wisconsin: 2006-2010	
CHART 23. MEDIAN EARNINGS BY SEX AMONG YEAR-ROUND, FULL-TIME WORKERS, WISCONSIN: 2006-2010	
CHART 24. PERCENT OF HMONG BELOW POVERTY LEVEL, WISCONSIN: 1989, 1999, AND 2006-2010	
CHART 25. PERCENT IN POVERTY BY AGE, WISCONSIN: 2006-2010	30

CONTENTS

CHART 26. PERCENT OF FAMILIES BELOW POVERTY LEVEL BY FAMILY TYPE, WISCONSIN: 2006-2010	31
LABOR FORCE	32
CHART 27. EMPLOYMENT STATUS FOR HMONG PERSONS AGE 16 AND OVER, WISCONSIN: 1990-2010	
CHART 29. EMPLOYMENT STATUS FOR PERSONS AGE 16 AND OVER, WISCONSIN: 2006-2010	,
Wisconsin: 2006-2010CHART 31. INDUSTRY FOR THE EMPLOYED CIVILIAN HMONG POPULATION AGE 16 YEARS AND OVER, WISCONSIN: 20	
LANGUAGE AND EDUCATION	37
CHART 32. EDUCATIONAL ATTAINMENT FOR THE HMONG POPULATION 25 YEARS AND OVER, WISCONSIN: 1990-20 CHART 33. EDUCATIONAL ATTAINMENT FOR THE HMONG POPULATION 25 YEARS AND OVER BY SEX, WISCONSIN: 2	006-2010
CHART 34. EDUCATIONAL ATTAINMENT FOR THE POPULATION 25 YEARS AND OVER, WISCONSIN: 2006-2010 CHART 35. PERCENT OF POPULATION ENROLLED IN COLLEGE OR GRADUATE SCHOOL BY AGE, WISCONSIN: 2006-20 CHART 36. ABILITY TO SPEAK ENGLISH FOR THE HMONG POPULATION 5 YEARS AND OVER WHO SPEAK AN ASIAN O ISLAND LANGUAGE, WISCONSIN: 2006-2010	39 01040 or Pacific
Chart 37. Ability to Speak English for the Hmong Population 5 Years and Over Who Speak an Asian o Island Language by Age, Wisconsin: 2006-2010	r Pacific 42
CHART 38. SHARE OF STATE'S LIMITED-ENGLISH PROFICIENT HMONG STUDENTS IN WISCONSIN COUNTIES: 2010	
HEALTH	
Chart 39. Births in which Prenatal Care Began during the First Trimester of Pregnancy, Wisconsin: 2	
CHART 40. LOW BIRTHWEIGHT BIRTHS, WISCONSIN: 2011	45
CHART 41. BIRTHS TO TEENS AS A PERCENT OF ALL BIRTHS BY AGE, WISCONSIN: 2011	
TABLES	48
Table 1. Hmong Population by State, 2010	48
TABLES	48
Supplementary Data Tables 1-17	48
TABLE 2. HMONG POPULATION OF WISCONSIN COUNTIES, 2000-2010	49
Table 2 (Continued). Hmong Population of Wisconsin Counties, 2000-2010	50
Table 3. Asian Alone Population of Wisconsin Counties, 2000-2010	
Table 3 (Continued). Asian Alone Population of Wisconsin Counties 2000-2010	
Table 4. Hmong Population in Top 20 Wisconsin Counties, 2000 & 2010	
TABLE 5. PERCENT HMONG POPULATION IN TOP 20 WISCONSIN COUNTIES, 2010	
TABLE 6. INCREASE IN HMONG POPULATION IN TOP 20 WISCONSIN COUNTIES, 2000 & 2010	
TABLE 7. HMONG POPULATION IN TOP 20 WISCONSIN MUNICIPALITIES, 2000 & 2010	
TABLE 8. PERCENT HMONG POPULATION IN TOP 20 WISCONSIN MUNICIPALITIES, 2010	
Table 9. Increase in Hmong Population in Top 20 Wisconsin Municipalities, 2000 & 2010	
Table 11. Racial Composition of Wisconsin, 2000 & 2010	
TABLE 12. HMONG AND WISCONSIN POPULATIONS BY AGE GROUP, 2000 & 2010	
TABLE 13. FAMILY AND NON-FAMILY LIVING ARRANGEMENTS, 2000 & 2010	
TABLE 14. INCOME BELOW POVERTY LEVEL BY AGE GROUP, 2000 & 2006-2010	

CONTENTS

Table 15. Employment Status by Sex, 2000 & 2006-2010	59
TABLE 16. INDUSTRY FOR EMPLOYED CIVILIAN POPULATION AGE 16 AND OVER, 2000 & 2006-2010	
TABLE 17. EDUCATIONAL ATTAINMENT FOR THE POPULATION 25 AND OVER BY SEX. 2006-2010	

The Applied Population Laboratory at the University of Wisconsin, Madison prepared this report as part of a collaborative effort with University of Wisconsin Cooperative Extension.

Hmong in Wisconsin: A Statistical Overview relies principally on data from the 2010 Census and American Community Survey (ACS) to create a statistical portrait of Hmong in Wisconsin and draw comparisons with Wisconsin's total population through a series of charts, maps, and tables. Thematically the report focuses on demographic and socioeconomic characteristics of the Hmong population such as size and distribution, age structure, composition of households and families, education, income and poverty, employment, housing, and health care. In a few instances the report includes time series data with the results of earlier Censuses. To supplement Census and ACS data sources, the report also draws on data from the Wisconsin Departments of Health Services and of Public Instruction.

A short narrative accompanies each chart and map, highlighting details in the data that might be of particular interest to readers. The highlights are not intended to be an exhaustive interpretation and thus leave much room for elaboration. Each figure also contains a source note and, in the case of ACS estimates, a link to the source table. These links are important in that they also provide an indication of the estimates' reliability as expressed by the Margins of Error (MOEs). For the sake of simplicity, figures in the report show estimates only without the associated MOEs. However, MOEs may be important to take into account when making statistical inferences with the data. At the end of the report is a table section, which, for selected figures, provides associated numeric data.

Starting with Census 2000, respondents could, for the first time, identify themselves as being multiracial and could identify multiple countries of origin under the Asian race category. However, to keep the information presented in this report succinct (as noted in the first graphic in this report), most of Census and ACS sourced charts, maps, and tables in this report show data for those who identified themselves as Hmong Alone, that is, not in combination with another Asian subcategory nor in combination with another race.

The principal authors of this report are Claire Gecewicz, David Long (dlong@ssc.wisc.edu) and Dan Veroff (dlveroff@wisc.edu) of the Applied Population Laboratory. With appreciation and thanks, the authors would like to acknowledge the advice and assistance provided by Kristin Dwan, Roz Klaas, and David Egan-Robertson. Each of them lent immense skills and talents in preparing data, constructing tables and charts, and editing text. For more information about this report, please visit http://www.apl.wisc.edu.

2010 Summary

Hmong Population and Identity

- Wisconsin's Hmong population increased from 33,791 persons in 2000 to 47,127 persons in 2010, a 39% increase. This growth was considerably less than the 106% increase observed in the previous decade.
- In 2000, the Hmong population represented 0.6% of the Wisconsin population. By 2010 the proportion had increased to 0.8% of the population.
- In 2010, the Hmong population was the largest Asian racial ethnic group in Wisconsin, comprising 36% of all Asian persons in the state. The next largest Asian racial ethnic group, Asian Indian, accounted for 18% of the Asian population.
- Nine counties had Hmong populations that exceeded 2,000 people: Milwaukee, Marathon, Sheboygan, Dane, Brown, Outagamie, La Crosse, Winnebago and Eau Claire.
- Milwaukee County had the largest total Hmong population with 10,917 people.
- Marathon County continued to have the highest proportion of Hmong residents (4.2%).
- Three additional counties had Hmong populations that made up greater than 2% of the total population: Sheboygan (3.5%), La Crosse (2.7%), and Eau Claire (2.2%).
- Most Hmong residents in Wisconsin were born in the United States (54%). Although 46% of the Hmong population was foreign born, 84% of those had been in the U.S. for at least a decade.

Age, Household Composition, and Housing

- The median age of the Hmong population, at 20.3 years, was about one-half that of the total Wisconsin population (38.5). The largest shares of the population were those under five years old and those between age 15 and 24.
- Despite a decline in Hmong family size since 2000, the average Hmong household size (5.0) was more than double the statewide average for all households (2.4).
- Eight percent of Hmong women between age 15 and 19 were married, compared to one percent of Wisconsin women in the same age range.
- Among Hmong and Wisconsin women who gave birth within the past year, a greater percentage of Hmong women (81%) than Wisconsin women (69%) were married when they gave birth.
- Compared with the total population Hmong households were much more likely to be comprised of family households. Married couples with children were by far the most common of all the Hmong household types (51%).

Income, Poverty, and Employment

- The Hmong population earned significantly less than the total population. The discrepancy between Hmong male and female earnings was less pronounced than for the total population.
- The proportion of the Hmong population below the poverty level declined slightly. However, nearly one in five Hmong persons remained below the poverty level.

Education and Language

- Nearly 40% of Hmong females age 25 and older had not completed any schooling, compared to only about 20% of Hmong males. This relatively high percentage was concentrated among older women; 80% of Hmong females age 45 and older had less than a ninth grade education.
- Overall the Hmong population lagged far behind the Wisconsin population in measures
 of educational attainment. One quarter of the Wisconsin population had a Bachelor's
 degree or higher, compared to only 13% of the Hmong population.
- Eighty percent of the Hmong population that spoke an Asian or Pacific Island language in the home also spoke English well or very well. However, 68% of the Hmong population that was 65 or older did not speak English at all.

Health

- Teen births were slightly more common among the Hmong population than the Wisconsin population. In 2011, just under 10% of all Hmong births were to women less than 19 years old, compared to 6.7% for Wisconsin's total population. Although the proportion of Hmong births to teen mothers was still relatively high, the proportion decreased significantly since 2001 when 23% of births were to teen mothers.
- Compared with the total population, first trimester prenatal care rates were lower for the Hmong population and the incidence of low birth weight babies was higher.





Chart 1. Hmong Population, Wisconsin: 1990-2010

In 2010 there were nearly 50,000 people in Wisconsin who identified themselves as Hmong. Between 1990 and 2000 the Hmong population in Wisconsin increased more than 17,400. Over the next ten year period the increase was approximately 13,300.


Source: 1990, 2000 & 2010 Census of Population and Housing, U.S. Census Bureau



Map 1. Hmong Population, U.S. States: 2010

Map 1 shows the number of Hmong people in all states. When compared with other states, Wisconsin was among the top three with a Hmong population of 47,127, after California and Minnesota.


Source: 2010 Census of Population and Housing, U.S. Census Bureau See **Table 1** for map data



Map 2. Hmong Population, Wisconsin Counties: 2010

Map 2 shows the number of Hmong people in Wisconsin counties in 2010. Milwaukee County was distinct in having almost two times the Hmong population of the second highest county, Marathon County.

Source: 2010 Census of Population and Housing, U.S. Census Bureau See **Table 2** for map data




Map 3. Percent Hmong, Wisconsin Counties: 2010

Map 3 shows Hmong persons as a percent of each county's total population in 2010. Although Milwaukee County had the largest Hmong population numerically, numerous counties had higher percentages of Hmong persons.

Source: 2010 Census of Population and Housing, U.S. Census Bureau See **Table 2** for map data

Chart 2. Distribution of Wisconsin's Hmong Population, Wisconsin Counties: 2010



In 2010 several Wisconsin counties had large Hmong populations. Milwaukee County was home to the largest Hmong population in the state but Marathon, Sheboygan, Dane, and Brown counties also had significant Hmong populations. Marathon County had the largest proportion of Hmong persons, at 4.2% of the total county population.

Source: 2010 Census of Population and Housing, U.S. Census Bureau See **Table 2** for chart data




Chart 3. Hmong Identification, Wisconsin: 2010

In the 2010 Census respondents could identify themselves as belonging to one race category or multiple categories. Of the respondents that identified as Hmong, very few identified with additional race groups. This report uses figures based on persons who identified as "Hmong Alone."

Source: 2010 Census of Population and Housing, U.S. Census Bureau




Chart 4. Racial Composition, Wisconsin: 2010

Chart 4 shows the racial composition of the Wisconsin population. In 2010 the "Asian alone" population in Wisconsin accounted for 2.3% of the total population. The Asian population remained small in 2010, but had increased since 2000.

Source: 2010 Census of Population and Housing, U.S. Census Bureau See **Table 11** for chart data




Chart 5. Asian Population Composition, Wisconsin: 2010

As of the 2010 Census the Hmong made up the largest Asian ethnic group in Wisconsin, accounting for 36% of the total Asian population. The next largest Asian ethnic group, Asian Indians, was considerably smaller and comprised only 18% of the state's Asian population.

Source: 2010 Census of Population and Housing, U.S. Census Bureau See **Table 10** for chart data




Chart 6. Birthplace of the Hmong Population, Wisconsin: 2006-2010

In the 2006-2010 period, over half of Wisconsin's Hmong population was born in the United States, 12 percentage points higher than in 2000. When the Hmong first began relocating to the US, Hmong population growth was driven primarily by immigration. However, as the Hmong have become more established and immigration slowed, child bearing in the US has become a more important driver of Hmong population change.

Source: 2006-2010 American Community Survey, U.S. Census Bureau

See the URL below for ACS margins of error

http://factfinder2.census.gov/bkmk/table/1.0/en/ACS/10 SF4/B05002/0400000US55/popgroup~020

Chart 7. Year of Entry for Hmong Persons Born in Asia, Wisconsin: 2006-2010




Chart 7 shows when Wisconsin's Hmong immigrants relocated to the United States. Only 16% of the Hmong population had entered the United States after 2000, with the majority entering the country between 1980 and 2000 (70%).

Source: 2006-2010 American Community Survey, U.S. Census Bureau

See the URL below for ACS margins of error

http://factfinder2.census.gov/bkmk/table/1.0/en/ACS/10_SF4/B05007/0400000US55/popgroup~020x




Chart 8. Population by Age and Sex, Wisconsin: 2010

Chart 8 illustrates the age differences between the Hmong population in Wisconsin (left) and total Wisconsin population (right) in 2010. The Hmong pyramid bulges out at the 0-5 and 15-24 age ranges, the two largest age groups. The pyramid is widest at the base, reflecting the overall youth of the population in 2010: 12.5% of the Hmong population was under 5 years old, and 43% was under the age of 18. Only 3% of the population was over the age of 65.

Comparatively, the Wisconsin total population was much older. The largest portion of the population was in the 40 to 54 age range, or the Baby Boomers. The pyramid narrows slightly at the base, which shows that the population in older groups outnumbered those in the younger groups. Only 23% of the Wisconsin population was under the age of 18. The population 65 and older accounts for 14% of the total population, which was 5 times greater than the percentage of Hmong persons over age 65.

Source: 2010 Census of Population and Housing, U.S. Census Bureau See **Table 12** for chart data




Chart 9. Median Age by Sex, Wisconsin: 2010

Chart 9 shows median age by sex. In 2010 the median age for the total population was nearly double the median age for Hmong persons. However, since 2000 the median age of the Hmong population has increased from approximately 16 years to approximately 20 years.

Source: 2010 Census of Population and Housing, U.S. Census Bureau

3% 100% 6% 10% 9% 9% 5% 90% ■ Widowed 11% 10% 80% ■ Divorced 70% ■ Married 49% ■ Never Married 60% 59% 53% 56% 50% 40% 30% 20% 39% 29% 27% 24% 10% 0%

2000

Total

2006-2010

Chart 10. Marital Status of Females Age 15 and Over, Wisconsin: 2000 & 2006-2010

Chart 10 shows the marital status of women for 2000 and the 2006-2010 period. Since 2000 the proportion of married Hmong and total women has decreased, although the decrease was more significant for Hmong women. The percentage of divorced Hmong women decreased from 9% in 2000 to 5% in 2006-2010, while the proportion divorced among women in total remained virtually the same.

Source: 2000 Census of Population and Housing and 2006-2010 American Community Survey, U.S. Census Bureau
See the URLs below for ACS margins of error

http://factfinder2.census.gov/bkmk/table/1.0/en/ACS/10_SF4/B12002/0400000US55/popgroup~020 http://factfinder2.census.gov/bkmk/table/1.0/en/ACS/10_SYR/B12002/0400000US55

2000

Hmong

2006-2010




Chart 11. Marital Status of Females by Age Group, Wisconsin: 2006-2010

Chart 11 illustrates the proportion of females who were married by age group. Teen marriage has become less prevalent in the Hmong population in recent years, but in 2006-2010 the percentage of teen-age girls who were married was still much larger than for the total population.


Source: 2006-2010 American Community Survey, U.S. Census Bureau

See the URLs below for ACS margins of error

http://factfinder2.census.gov/bkmk/table/1.o/en/ACS/10_SF4/B12002/0400000US55/popgroup~020

http://factfinder2.census.gov/bkmk/table/1.o/en/ACS/10_5YR/B12002/0400000US55

Chart 12. Women Age 15 to 50 Years Who had a Birth in the Past 12 Months & Mother's Marital Status, Wisconsin: 2006-2010



The first two columns of Chart 12 show the percentage of women between age 15 and 50 who had a birth in the last 12 months for the 2006 to 2010 period. The second two columns show the percentage of births that were to married women. Eleven percent of Hmong women age 15 to 50 had a birth in the past 12 months, almost double the rate for women in total (6%). Among Hmong births in the prior year, 81% of the births were to married women. Among total births, just over two thirds (69%) were to married women.

Source: 2006-2010 American Community Survey, U.S. Census Bureau
See the URLs below for ACS margins of error
http://factfinder2.census.gov/bkmk/table/1.o/en/ACS/10_SF4/B13002/0400000US55/popgroup~020
http://factfinder2.census.gov/bkmk/table/1.o/en/ACS/10_5YR/B13002/0400000US55

Chart 13. Births in the Past 12 Months by Age of Mother, Wisconsin: 2006-2010




Chart 13 shows the percentage of births by the age of the mother among women age 15 to 50 who had a birth in the past year. The majority of births for both Hmong and total women fell in the 20 to 34 age range. Fifteen percent of Hmong births were to mothers between the age of 15 and 19 compared to 6% for total births. Only 5% of Hmong births occurred at the older end of the age spectrum (ages 35 to 50) compared to 19% of total births.

Source: 2006-2010 American Community Survey, U.S. Census Bureau

See the URLs below for ACS margins of error

http://factfinder2.census.gov/bkmk/table/1.o/en/ACS/10_SF4/B13002/0400000US55/popgroup~020

http://factfinder2.census.gov/bkmk/table/1.o/en/ACS/10_SYR/B13002/0400000US55




Chart 14. Types of Family and Non-Family Households, Wisconsin: 2010

In 2010 Hmong were far more likely than the total population to live in family households with children and in family households in general. Only 13% of Hmong households were comprised of people living alone or with unrelated people. Sixteen percent of Hmong households were headed by single parents, compared to 9% of total households.

Nearly one in three total households were people living alone and less than 20% of households were married couples with children.

Source: 2010 Census of Population and Housing, U.S. Census Bureau See **Table 13** for chart data





Chart 15. Average Family and Household Size, Wisconsin: 2010

Chart 15 shows average family and household sizes. The Census Bureau defines a family as "two or more people (one of whom is the householder) related by birth, marriage or adoption residing in the same housing unit." A household is defined as "all people who occupy a housing unit regardless of relationship... [and] may consist of a person living alone or multiple unrelated individuals or families living together." On average Hmong families and households were significantly larger than their total population counterparts.

Source: 2010 Census of Population and Housing, U.S. Census Bureau

Chart 16. Households with Children that were Female Headed and Male Headed, Wisconsin: 2010



Among families with children, female headed households (with no husband present) were significantly less common in the Hmong population than in the total population. About 17% of Hmong families with children were female headed versus 23% among the total population. Male headed families with children (no wife present) comprised a slightly smaller share for the Hmong population than for the total population (8% versus 9%).

Source: 2010 Census of Population and Housing, U.S. Census Bureau

Chart 17. Median Housing Unit Value, Wisconsin: 2006-2010




Chart 17 shows the value of owner occupied housing units. In 2010 the Hmong lived in homes that were worth around \$35,000 less than those of the total population. The gap in home values has increased slightly since 2000.

Source: 2006-2010 American Community Survey, U.S. Census Bureau
See the URLs below for ACS margins of error
http://factfinder2.census.gov/bkmk/table/1.o/en/ACS/10_SF4/B25077/0400000US55/popgroup~020
http://factfinder2.census.gov/bkmk/table/1.o/en/ACS/10_5YR/B25077/0400000US55




Chart 18. Average Household Size by Tenure, Wisconsin: 2010

Chart 18 shows household size by tenure. For the Hmong population, household size for owner occupied units was more than twice that of the total population. For renter occupied units the same pattern was seen. There is a large difference in household size between owner and renter occupied units for Hmong while there is only a slight difference for the total population.

Source: 2010 Census of Population and Housing, U.S. Census Bureau




Chart 19. Owner Occupied Housing Units, Wisconsin: 1990-2010

The percentage of Hmong households that lived in owner occupied units nearly quintupled between 1990 and 2000. Between 2000 and 2010 Hmong homeownership increased only slightly and thus remains significantly lower than homeownership rates for the total population, which remained stable at around 68% across all three periods.

Source: 1990, 2000, 2010 Censuses of Population and Housing, U.S. Census Bureau

Chart 20. Median Household Income, Wisconsin: 1989-2010



When adjusting for inflation, median household income for the total population in the 2006-2010 period was sharply less than in 1989. During the same interval, median household income for the Hmong increased. As a result, the income gap between the two populations has lessened. Nevertheless, Hmong households were significantly larger on average, meaning that the Hmong households' income typically supported more people.

Sources: 1990 & 2000 Censuses of Population and Housing;
2006-2010 American Community Survey, U.S. Census Bureau
See the URLs below for ACS margins of error
http://factfinder2.census.gov/bkmk/table/1.0/en/ACS/10_SF4/B19013/0400000US55/popgroup~020
http://factfinder2.census.gov/bkmk/table/1.0/en/ACS/10_SYR/B19013/0400000US55

Chart 21. Median Household and Family Income, Wisconsin: 2006-2010




Chart 21 displays the differences in median family and household income for the Hmong and Wisconsin populations. In 2006-2010, the difference in median family income was much greater than the difference in median household income.

Source: 2006-2010 American Community Survey, U.S. Census Bureau

See the URLs below for ACS margins of error

http://factfinder2.census.gov/bkmk/table/1.0/en/ACS/10_SF4/B19119/0400000US55/popgroup~020

http://factfinder2.census.gov/bkmk/table/1.0/en/ACS/10_SYR/B19119/0400000US55

Chart 22. Household Income Distribution, Wisconsin: 2006-2010



In 2006-2010 the distribution of household income followed a similar pattern for both the Hmong and total populations. However, more Hmong households fell into the middle income range, \$40,000 to \$100,000. The Wisconsin population tended to have more households that earned more than \$100,000, driving up median household income.

Source: 2006-2010 American Community Survey, U.S. Census Bureau

See the URLs below for the ACS margins of error

http://factfinder2.census.gov/bkmk/table/1.o/en/ACS/10_SF4/B19001/0400000US55/popgroup~020

http://factfinder2.census.gov/bkmk/table/1.o/en/ACS/10_SYR/B19001/0400000US55

Chart 23. Median Earnings by Sex Among Year-Round, Full-Time Workers, Wisconsin: 2006-2010





Chart 23 illustrates the difference in income for men and women who were working year-round and full-time. For both males and females, median earnings were lower for the Hmong than for the total population. Median earnings for Hmong males was about \$16,000 less than the median of the total male population. Among Hmong women the median was about \$7,800 less than the median for the total female population.

Source: 2006-2010 American Community Survey, U.S. Census Bureau
See the URLs below for the ACS margins of error
http://factfinder2.census.gov/bkmk/table/1.o/en/ACS/10_SF4/B20017/0400000US55/popgroup~020
http://factfinder2.census.gov/bkmk/table/1.o/en/ACS/10_5YR/B20017/0400000US55




Chart 24. Percent of Hmong Below Poverty Level, Wisconsin: 1989, 1999, and 2006-2010

The percentage of the Hmong population living below poverty level has declined dramatically over the past two decades. In 1989, three-quarters of the Hmong population lived below the poverty level. In 2006-2010 period 20% of Hmong persons lived in poverty. Despite the decrease the percentage of Hmong persons in poverty remained significantly higher than that of the total population (11.6% in 2006-2010).

Source: 1990 & 2000 Censuses of Population and Housing; 2006-2010 American Community Survey, U.S. Census Bureau See **Table 14** for selected chart data and the URL below for ACS margins of error http://factfinder2.census.gov/bkmk/table/1.0/en/ACS/10 SF4/B17001/0400000US55/popgroup~020




Chart 25. Percent in Poverty by Age, Wisconsin: 2006-2010

In the 2006-2010 poverty rates in each of the three age groups were higher for the Hmong population. Differences in poverty rates between the Hmong and total populations were more pronounced in the older age groups than among children. Poverty rates among persons age 65 and older were more than 3 times higher for the Hmong population.

Source: 2006-2010 American Community Survey, U.S. Census Bureau
See **Table 14** for chart data and the URLs below for the ACS margins of error
http://factfinder2.census.gov/bkmk/table/1.0/en/ACS/10_SF4/B17001/0400000US55/popgroup~020
http://factfinder2.census.gov/bkmk/table/1.0/en/ACS/10_SYR/B17001/0400000US55

Chart 26. Percent of Families Below Poverty Level by Family Type, Wisconsin: 2006-2010





Chart 26 shows poverty rates for three types of families. Figures shown here include only families with related children living at home. In each type the Hmong poverty rates were higher than the total population. Among married couple families the poverty rate was three times higher for the Hmong than for the total population (15% versus 5%). Among single female headed households the Hmong poverty rate was still higher but the difference was much less (40% verus 37%).

Source: 2006-2010 American Community Survey, U.S. Census Bureau
See the URLs below for the ACS margins of error
http://factfinder2.census.gov/bkmk/table/1.o/en/ACS/10_SF4/B17010/0400000US55/popgroup~020
http://factfinder2.census.gov/bkmk/table/1.o/en/ACS/10_SYR/B17010/0400000US55






Chart 27 shows employment status for the Hmong population from 1990 to 2006-2010. Labor force participation rates had increased dramatically since 1990 when only 30% of the Hmong population was in the labor force. The percentage of Hmong persons who were employed increased almost three-fold from 22% in 1990 to 62% in the 2006-2010 period.

Source: 1990 & 2000 Censuses of Population and Housings; 2006-2010 American Community Survey, U.S. Census Bureau See **Table 15** for chart data and the URL below for ACS margins of error http://factfinder2.census.gov/bkmk/table/1.0/en/ACS/10_SF4/B23001/0400000US55/popgroup~020

Chart 28. Employment Status for the Hmong Population Age 16 and Over by Sex, Wisconsin: 2006-2010




Chart 28 shows the differences in labor force participation for the Hmong population by sex. Females (33%) were more likely than males (26%) to not be in the labor force. However the percentage of males and females that were employed or in the armed forces was similar, at 64% and 61% respectively.

Source: 2006-2010 American Community Survey, U.S. Census Bureau
See **Table 15** for chart data and the URL below for ACS margins of error
http://factfinder2.census.gov/bkmk/table/1.o/en/ACS/10_SF4/B23001/0400000US55/popgroup~020





Chart 29. Employment Status for Persons Age 16 and Over, Wisconsin: 2006-2010

In the 2006-2010 period the Hmong and total populations had comparable employment figures: 62% of Hmong and 64% of the total population were employed. Overall, the total population of Wisconsin was slightly more likely to be either employed or not in the labor force, while Hmong were more likely to be unemployed.

Source: 2006-2010 American Community Survey, U.S. Census Bureau
See **Table 15** for chart data and the URLs below for ACS margins of error
http://factfinder2.census.gov/bkmk/table/1.o/en/ACS/10_SF4/B23001/0400000US55/popgroup~020
http://factfinder2.census.gov/bkmk/table/1.o/en/ACS/10_5YR/B23001/0400000US55

Chart 30. Labor Force Participation for Females Age 20 to 64 by Presence of Own Children Under 18, Wisconsin: 2006-2010



In 2006-2010, Hmong women with children were in the labor force in a higher proportion than women who did not have children. Among the total population, women with or without children in Wisconsin participated in the labor force at roughly the same rate.


Source: 2006-2010 American Community Survey, U.S. Census Bureau

See the URLs below for ACS margins of error

http://factfinder2.census.gov/bkmk/table/1.0/en/ACS/10_SF4/B23003/0400000US55/popgroup~020


http://factfinder2.census.gov/bkmk/table/1.0/en/ACS/10_SYR/B23003/0400000US55

Chart 31. Industry for the Employed Civilian Hmong Population Age 16 Years and Over, Wisconsin: 2006-2010



In 2006-2010 the two industries that employed the largest percentage of Hmong were manufacturing, and educational services, and healthcare and social assistance.

Source: 2006-2010 American Community Survey, U.S. Census Bureau
See **Table 16** for chart data and the URLs below for ACS margins of error
http://factfinder2.census.gov/bkmk/table/1.0/en/ACS/10_SF4/C24030/0400000US55/popgroup~020
http://factfinder.census.gov/bkmk/table/1.0/en/ACS/10_SYR/C24030/0400000US55






Chart 32 shows how Hmong educational attainment changed from 1990 to 2006-2010. In 2006-2010 about 13% of the population had a bachelor's degree or higher, nearly doubling since 2000. While educational attainment increased over the period shown, a significant percentage of the population remained without formal education in the 2006-2010 period estimate.

Source: 1990 & 2000 Censuses of Population and Housing; 2006-2010 American Community Survey, U.S. Census Bureau See **Table 17** for chart data and the URL below for ACS margins of error http://factfinder2.census.gov/bkmk/table/1.o/en/ACS/10 SF4/B15002/0400000US55/popgroup~020

Chart 33. Educational Attainment for the Hmong Population 25 Years and Over by Sex, Wisconsin: 2006-2010





Chart 33 displays the differences in educational attainment for Hmong men and women. While both sexes have low levels of educational attainment compared to the total Wisconsin population, Hmong females had especially low levels. In 2006-2010 the percentage of females who had not completed any schooling (38%) was nearly double that of males (20%). There was also a gap between the sexes among higher levels of education, as 65% of Hmong females had a high school education or less, compared to 51% of males. Low educational attainment among older women accounts for much of the difference between sexes.

Source: 2006-2010 American Community Survey, U.S. Census Bureau
See **Table 17** for chart data and the URL below for ACS margins of error
http://factfinder2.census.gov/bkmk/table/1.o/en/ACS/10_SF4/B15002/0400000US55/popgroup~020


Chart 34. Educational Attainment for the Population 25 Years and Over, Wisconsin: 2006-2010



Educational attainment levels were higher for the total population than for the Hmong population across all levels of attainment. Lower levels of educational attainment among older Hmong immigrants was an important contributor to these differences.

Source: 2006-2010 American Community Survey, U.S. Census Bureau
See **Table 17** for chart data and the URLs below for ACS margins of error
http://factfinder2.census.gov/bkmk/table/1.o/en/ACS/10_SF4/B15002/0400000US55/popgroup~020
http://factfinder2.census.gov/bkmk/table/1.o/en/ACS/10_5YR/B15002/0400000US55

Chart 35. Percent of Population Enrolled in College or Graduate School by Age, Wisconsin: 2006-2010



Estimates of current enrollment in college or graduate school by age indicate that the percentages of Hmong ages 18-24 and 25-34 were only slightly less than those of the total population in these age groups in the 2006-2010 period.


Source: 2006-2010 American Community Survey, U.S. Census Bureau

See the URLs below for ACS margins of error

http://factfinder2.census.gov/bkmk/table/1.0/en/ACS/10_SF4/B14004/0400000US55/popgroup~020

http://factfinder2.census.gov/bkmk/table/1.0/en/ACS/10_5YR/B14004/0400000US55

Chart 36. Ability to Speak English for the Hmong Population 5 Years and Over Who Do Not Speak English at Home, Wisconsin: 2006-2010




For Hmong persons age 5 and over in 2000, 96% did not speak English at home. By the 2006-2010 period that share had dropped to 91%. Among those who did not speak English at home in 2006-2010, 80% spoke English well or very well, a 9% increase since 2000.

Source: 2006-2010 American Community Survey, U.S. Census Bureau

See the URL below for ACS margins of error

http://factfinder2.census.gov/bkmk/table/1.0/en/ACS/10_SF4/B16004/0400000US55/popgroup~020

Chart 37. Ability to Speak English for the Hmong Population 5 Years and Over Who Do Not Speak English at Home by Age, Wisconsin: 2006-2010



The ability to speak English differed among age groups. Younger Hmong persons tended to speak English better than their older counterparts. Hmong persons age 65 and over consisted largely of immigrants and most continued to speak only their native language.

Source: 2006-2010 American Community Survey, U.S. Census Bureau

See the URL below for ACS margins of error

http://factfinder2.census.gov/bkmk/table/1.0/en/ACS/10 SF4/B16004/0400000US55/popgroup~020




Chart 38. Share of State's Limited-English Proficient Hmong Students in Wisconsin Counties: 2010

According to the Department of Public Instruction's 2010 Census of Limited-English Proficient Pupils in Wisconsin, there were just over 10,000 Hmong students with limited English proficiency (LEP). In 2010, four out of five Hmong LEP students were concentrated in eight Wisconsin counties, with Marathon and Sheboygan counties accounting for the largest shares of Hmong LEP students.

Source: March 2010 Census of Limited-English Proficient Pupils in Wisconsin by Language,
Wisconsin Department of Public Instruction
http://ell.dpi.wi.gov/files/ell/pdf/elp-lang-2010.pdf




Chart 39. Births for which Prenatal Care Began during the First Trimester of Pregnancy, Wisconsin: 2001 & 2011

Hmong females received prenatal care in the first trimester in significantly smaller proportions than Wisconsin women in total. Although the percentage receiving care has increased about 5 percentage points since 2001, nearly half of Hmong women did not receive care in the first trimester.

Source: Wisconsin Department of Health and Family Services, Division of Public Health, Office of Health Informatics "Number and Percent of Births by Race/Ethnicity of Mother and Selected Characteristics," 2001 & 2011




Chart 40. Low Birthweight Births, Wisconsin: 2011

In 2011 about 9% of births to Hmong women and about 7% of births to all Wisconsin women were classified as low birthweight births, defined as less than 2,500 grams (approximately 5 and 1/2 pounds). The prevalence of low birthweight births increased slightly since 2001 for both populations.

Source: Wisconsin Department of Health and Family Services, Division of Public Health, Office of Health Informatics "Number and Percent of Births by Race/Ethnicity of Mother and Birth Weight," 2011




Chart 41. Births to Teens as a Percent of All Births by Age, Wisconsin: 2011

In 2001 23% of births to Hmong women were to teen mothers between the ages of 15 and 19. By 2011 the proportion of births to Hmong teen mothers had decreased to 9%. Over the same period births to teen mothers in total decreased from 10% in 2001 to around 7%.

Source: Wisconsin Department of Health and Family Services, Division of Public Health, Office of Health Informatics "Number and Percent of Births by Race/Ethnicity of Mother and Selected Characteristics," 2011




Chart 42. Live Birth Order as a Percent of All Births, Wisconsin: 2011

The Wisconsin Department of Health Services provides data on birth parity, shown in Chart 42 above. Consistent with the data on average family size, in 2011 Hmong women were far more likely than Wisconsin women to have higher order births. Nineteen percent of births to Hmong women were at least a woman's fifth birth.

Conversely, over 70% of births to all Wisconsin women were the first and second births; less than 30% of births were a woman's third child or more.

Source: Wisconsin Department of Health and Family Services, Division of Public Health, Office of Health Informatics "Number and Percent of Births by Race/Ethnicity of Mother and Selected Characteristics," 2011

Supplementary Data Tables 1-17

	Table 1. Hmong Population by State, 2010							
Rank	State	Hmong Population	Total Population	Percent Hmong				
1	California	86,989	37,253,956	0.23%				
2	Minnesota	63,619	5,303,925	1.20%				
3	Wisconsin	47,127	5,686,986	0.83%				
4	North Carolina	10,433	9,535,483	0.11%				
5	Michigan	5,580	9,883,640	0.06%				
6	Colorado	3,611	5,029,196	0.07%				
7	Georgia	3,460	9,687,653	0.04%				
8	Alaska	3,427	710,231	0.48%				
9	Oklahoma	3,180	3,751,351	0.08%				
10	Oregon	2,722	3,831,074	0.07%				
11	Washington	2,186	6,724,540	0.03%				
12	Arkansas	2,063	2,915,918	0.07%				
13	Kansas	1,645	2,853,118	0.06%				
14	Missouri	1,248	5,988,927	0.02%				
15	South Carolina	1,135	4,625,364	0.02%				
16	Florida	1,093	18,801,310	0.01%				
17	Massachusetts	992	6,547,629	0.02%				
18	Pennsylvania	945	12,702,379	0.01%				
19	Rhode Island	909	1,052,567	0.09%				
20	Texas	777	25,145,561	0.00%				
21	Illinois	572	12,830,632	0.00%				
22	Ohio	539	11,536,504	0.01%				
23	lowa	491	3,046,355	0.02%				
24	Tennessee	363	6,346,105	0.01%				
25	Utah	354	2,763,885	0.01%				
26	New York	227	19,378,102	0.00%				
27	Montana	221	989,415	0.02%				
28	Nevada	203	2,700,551	0.01%				
29	Connecticut	194	3,574,097	0.01%				
30	Arizona	181	6,392,017	0.00%				
31	Indiana	175	6,483,802	0.00%				
32	Nebraska	161	1,826,341	0.01%				
33	Virginia	154	8,001,024	0.00%				
34	Alabama	108	4,779,736	0.00%				

Table 2. Hmong Population of Wisconsin Counties, 2000-2010

Carrelia			Change 2000-2010			
County	2000	2010	Number	Percent		
Adams	0	5	5	-		
Ashland	1	1	0	0%		
Barron	0	11	11			
Bayfield	0	8	8			
Brown	2,957	3,912	955	32%		
Buffalo	8	1	-7	-88%		
Burnett	10	6	-4	-40%		
Calumet	412	662	250	61%		
Chippewa	321	425	104	32%		
Clark	19	27	8	42%		
Columbia	11	45	34	309%		
Crawford	9	0	-9	-100%		
Dane	2,235	4,016	1,781	80%		
Dodge	36	40	4	11%		
Door	9	10	1	11%		
Douglas	75	51	-24	-32%		
Dunn	551	795	244	44%		
Eau Claire	1,599	2,181	582	36%		
Florence	7	5	-2	-29%		
Fond du Lac	388	496	108	28%		
Forest	8	0	-8	-100%		
Grant	38	46	8	21%		
Green	8	25	17	213%		
Green Lake	29	33	4	14%		
lowa	0	10	10			
Iron	0	1	1			
Jackson	0	7	7			
Jefferson	30	84	54	180%		
Juneau	41	36	-5	-12%		
Kenosha	15	80	65	433%		
Kewaunee	6	18	12	200%		
La Crosse	2,282	3,058	776	34%		
Lafayette	20	27	7	35%		
Langlade	22	3	-19	-86%		
Lincoln	16	22	6	38%		
Continued on ne	ext page					

Table 2 (Continued). Hmong Population of Wisconsin Counties, 2000-2010

Country		2010	Change 2	Change 2000-2010			
County	2000	2010	Number	Percent			
Manitowoc	1,157	1,518	361	31%			
Marathon	4,453	5,644	1,191	27%			
Marinette	0	22	22				
Marquette	0	0	0				
Menominee	0	0	0				
Milwaukee	7,883	10,917	3,034	38%			
Monroe	37	36	-1	-3%			
Oconto	26	25	-1	-4%			
Oneida	20	15	-5	-25%			
Outagamie	2,504	3,249	745	30%			
Ozaukee	21	74	53	252%			
Pepin	0	0	0				
Pierce	20	72	52	260%			
Polk	15	9	-6	-40%			
Portage	861	1,232	371	43%			
Price	0	6	6				
Racine	53	95	42	79%			
Richland	1	11	10	1000%			
Rock	17	40	23	135%			
Rusk	10	0	-10	-100%			
St. Croix	81	417	336	415%			
Sauk	0	5	5				
Sawyer	1	0	-1	-100%			
Shawano	35	45	10	29%			
Sheboygan	2,706	4,046	1,340	50%			
Taylor	8	0	-8	-100%			
Trempealeau	1	43	42	4200%			
Vernon	0	6	6				
Vilas	0	7	7				
Walworth	40	64	24	60%			
Washburn	0	0	0				
Washington	45	137	92	204%			
Waukesha	76	302	226	297%			
Waupaca	6	16	10	167%			
Waushara	37	19	-18	-49%			
Winnebago	1,825	2,223	398	22%			
Wood	689	685	-4	-1%			
Total	33,791	47,127	13,336	39%			

Table 3. Asian Alone Population of Wisconsin Counties, 2000-2010

Country			Change 2000-2010			
County	2000	2010	Number	Percent		
Adams	62	82	20	32%		
Ashland	53	59	6	11%		
Barron	145	223	78	54%		
Bayfield	41	48	7	17%		
Brown	4,935	6,724	1,789	36%		
Buffalo	45	28	-17	-38%		
Burnett	37	52	15	41%		
Calumet	629	1,031	402	64%		
Chippewa	492	774	282	57%		
Clark	101	127	26	26%		
Columbia	175	301	126	72%		
Crawford	45	63	18	40%		
Dane	14,735	23,035	8,300	56%		
Dodge	296	472	176	60%		
Door	81	112	31	38%		
Douglas	273	376	103	38%		
Dunn	849	1,143	294	35%		
Eau Claire	2,344	3,297	953	41%		
Florence	14	13	-1	-7%		
Fond du Lac	845	1,148	303	36%		
Forest	17	13	-4	-24%		
Grant	230	312	82	36%		
Green	97	198	101	104%		
Green Lake	59	86	27	46%		
lowa	78	129	51	65%		
Iron	9	18	9	100%		
Jackson	31	53	22	71%		
Jefferson	333	560	227	68%		
Juneau	106	115	9	9%		
Kenosha	1,381	2,393	1,012	73%		
Kewaunee	27	60	33	122%		
La Crosse	3,376	4,742	1,366	41%		
Lafayette	36	58	22	61%		
Langlade	57	62	5	9%		
Lincoln	116	124	8	7%		
Continued on ne	xt page					

Table 3 (Continued). Asian Alone Population of Wisconsin Counties 2000-2010

Country		22/2	Change 2000-2010			
County	2000	2010	Number	Percent		
Manitowoc	1,644	2,050	406	25%		
Marathon	5,715	7,146	1,431	25%		
Marinette	119	218	99	83%		
Marquette	42	68	26	62%		
Menominee	0	1	1	0.0%		
Milwaukee	24,145	32,422	8,277	34%		
Monroe	195	289	94	48%		
Oconto	72	111	39	54%		
Oneida	109	188	79	73%		
Outagamie	3,595	5,229	1,634	46%		
Ozaukee	882	1,509	627	71%		
Pepin	15	13	-2	-13%		
Pierce	158	301	143	91%		
Polk	109	159	50	46%		
Portage	1,511	1,969	458	30%		
Price	47	62	15	32%		
Racine	1,363	2,121	758	56%		
Richland	38	95	57	150%		
Rock	1,191	1,630	439	37%		
Rusk	40	41	1	3%		
St. Croix	389	900	511	131%		
Sauk	144	337	193	134%		
Sawyer	48	49	1	2%		
Shawano	136	180	44	32%		
Sheboygan	3,698	5,310	1,612	44%		
Taylor	46	56	10	22%		
Trempealeau	36	123	87	242%		
Vernon	60	92	32	53%		
Vilas	38	61	23	61%		
Walworth	612	845	233	38%		
Washburn	30	63	33	110%		
Washington	674	1,415	741	110%		
Waukesha	5,381	10,721	5,340	99%		
Waupaca	139	194	55	40%		
Waushara	80	94	14	18%		
Winnebago	2,892	3,822	930	32%		
Wood	1,220	1,319	99	8%		
Total	88,763	129,234	40,471	46%		

Tabl	e 4. Hmong Popula	ation in Top 2000 & 201		sin Counties,
Rank	County	2000	2010	Percent Change 2000-2010
1	Milwaukee	7,883	10,917	38%
2	Marathon	4,453	5,644	27%
3	Sheboygan	2,706	4,046	50%
4	Dane	2,235	4,016	80%
5	Brown	2,957	3,912	32%
6	Outagamie	2,504	3,249	30%
7	La Crosse	2,282	3,058	34%
8	Winnebago	1,825	2,223	22%
9	Eau Claire	1,599	2,181	36%
10	Manitowoc	1,157	1,518	31%
11	Portage	861	1,232	43%
12	Dunn	551	795	44%
13	Wood	689	685	-1%
14	Calumet	412	662	61%
15	Fond du Lac	388	496	28%
16	Chippewa	321	425	32%
17	St. Croix	81	417	415%
18	Waukesha	76	302	297%
19	Washington	45	137	204%
20	Racine	53	95	79%

Table 5. Percent Hmong Population in Top 20 Wisconsin Counties, 2010							
Rank	County	2010	Total Population	Percent Hmong			
1	Marathon	5,644	134,063	4.2%			
2	Sheboygan	4,046	115,507	3.5%			
3	La Crosse	3,058	114,638	2.7%			
4	Eau Claire	2,181	98,736	2.2%			
5	Manitowoc	1,518	81,442	1.9%			
6	Outagamie	3,249	176,695	1.8%			
7	Dunn	795	43,857	1.8%			
8	Portage	1,232	70,019	1.8%			
9	Brown	3,912	248,007	1.6%			
10	Calumet	662	48,971	1.4%			
11	Winnebago	2,223	166,994	1.3%			
12	Milwaukee	10,917	947,735	1.2%			
13	Wood	685	74,749	0.9%			
14	Dane	4,016	488,073	0.8%			
15	Chippewa	425	62,415	0.7%			
16	St. Croix	417	84,345	0.5%			
17	Fond du Lac	496	101,633	0.5%			
18	Pierce	72	41,019	0.2%			
19	Green Lake	33	19,051	0.2%			
20	Lafayette	27	16836	0.2%			

	Table 6. Increase in Hmong Population in Top 20								
	Wisconsin Counties, 2000 & 2010								
Rank	County	2000	2010	Percent Change 2000-2010					
1	Kenosha	15	80	433%					
2	St. Croix	81	417	415%					
3	Waukesha	76	302	297%					
4	Pierce	20	72	260%					
5	Ozaukee	21	74	252%					
6	Washington	45	137	204%					
7	Jefferson	30	84	180%					
8	Dane	2,235	4,016	80%					
9	Racine	53	95	79%					
10	Calumet	412	662	61%					
11	Walworth	40	64	60%					
12	Sheboygan	2,706	4,046	50%					
13	Dunn	551	795	44%					
14	Portage	861	1,232	43%					
15	Milwaukee	7,883	10,917	38%					
16	Eau Claire	1,599	2,181	36%					
17	La Crosse	2,282	3,058	34%					
18	Chippewa	321	425	32%					
19	Brown	2,957	3,912	32%					
20	Manitowoc	1,157	1,518	31%					

	Table 7. Hmong Population in Top 20 Wisconsin Municipalities, 2000 & 2010							
Rank	Municipality	2000	2010	Percent Change 2000-2010				
1	Milwaukee city	7,682	9,862	28%				
2	Sheboygan city	2,514	3,618	44%				
3	Wausau city	3,504	3,569	2%				
4	Appleton city	2,451	3,035	24%				
5	Green Bay city	2,629	2,876	9%				
6	Madison city	1,842	2,637	43%				
7	Eau Claire city	1,616	2,113	31%				
8	La Crosse city	1,729	1,475	-15%				
9	Oshkosh city	1,288	1,420	10%				
10	Manitowoc city	976	1,204	23%				
11	Weston village	486	1,067	120%				
12	Stevens Point city	698	734	5%				
13	Onalaska city	226	658	191%				
14	Wisconsin Rapids city	470	550	17%				
15	Holmen village	159	528	232%				
16	Menomonie city	274	431	57%				
17	Sun Prairie city	105	410	290%				
18	Fond du Lac city	354	407	15%				
19	Bellevue village	s *	396	-				
20	Plover village	s *	336					

Source: 2000 and 2010 Censuses of Population and Housing, U.S. Census Bureau *data suppressed, fewer than 100 Hmong persons in 2000

Tak	ole 8. Top 20 Wiscon	sin Municipalitie	es for Percent Hm	ong, 2010
Rank	Municipality	Total Population	Hmong Population	Percent Hmong
1	Wausau city	39,106	3,569	9.1%
2	Sheboygan city	49,288	3,618	7.3%
3	Weston village	14,868	1,067	7.2%
4	Holmen village	9,005	528	5.9%
5	Menomonie town	3,366	176	5.2%
6	Appleton city	72,623	3,035	4.2%
7	Onalaska city	17,736	658	3.7%
8	Manitowoc city	33,736	1,204	3.6%
9	Eau Claire city	65,883	2,113	3.2%
10	Rib Mountain town	6,825	217	3.2%
11	Kronenwetter village	7,210	218	3.0%
12	Wisconsin Rapids city	18,367	550	3.0%
13	La Crosse city	51,320	1,475	2.9%
14	Plover village	12,123	336	2.8%
15	Green Bay city	104,057	2,876	2.8%
16	Stevens Point city	26,717	734	2.7%
17	Bellevue village	14,570	396	2.7%
18	Menomonie city	16,264	431	2.7%
19	Rothschild village	5,269	139	2.6%
20	Madison town	6,279	161	2.6%

Tabl	Table 9. Top 20 Wisconsin Municpalities for Percent Increase in Hmong Population, 2000-2010							
Rank	Municipality	2000	2010	Percent Change 2000-2010				
1	Sun Prairie city	105	410	290%				
2	Holmen village	159	528	232%				
3	Onalaska city	226	658	191%				
4	Weston village	486	1,067	120%				
5	Rib Mountain town	116	217	87%				
6	Fitchburg city	127	237	87%				
7	Menasha town	150	274	83%				
8	Sheboygan town	103	174	69%				
9	Menomonie city	274	431	57%				
10	Sheboygan city	2,514	3,618	44%				
11	Madison city	1,842	2,637	43%				
12	Eau Claire city	1,616	2,113	31%				
13	Two Rivers city	151	195	29%				
14	Milwaukee city	7,682	9,862	28%				
15	Menasha city	175	217	24%				
16	Appleton city	2,451	3,035	24%				
17	Manitowoc city	976	1,204	23%				
18	Wisconsin Rapids city	470	550	17%				
19	Fond du Lac city	354	407	15%				
20	Oshkosh city	1,288	1,420	10%				

	Table 10. Asian Population of Wisconsin Counties by Origin, 2010										
	Total					Asian Alone					Asian in
County	Asian	Asian Indian	Chinese	Filipino	Hmong	Japanese	Korean	Laotian	Vietnamese	Other Asian	Combination
Adams Ashland	125 100	9 8	6 11	22 10	5 1	6 4	6 6	11 3	7 6	5 6	43 41
Barron	322	30	35	57	11	5	36	0	14	31	99
Bayfield	80	5	6	12	8	7	5	4	0	5	32
Brown	7,619	765	371	233	3,912	75	208	324	196	427	895
Buffalo Burnett	56 78	3 6	3 8	5 10	1 6	3 6	7 4	0	1	3 2	28 26
Calumet	1,156	56	93	67	662	3	34	12	18	28	125
Chippewa	907	40	62	83	425	21	30	6	25	15	133
Clark	171	13	20	31	27	4	14	0	0	5	44
Columbia Crawford	442 83	40 8	50 14	61 9	45 o	16 4	39 10	3	20 11	16 5	141 20
Dane	26,698	4,680	5,936	974	4,016	659	2,422	435	796	2,561	3,663
Dodge	668	74	75	86	40	19	82	13	21	24	196
Door	143 528	18	16	31	10	11	9	0	7	5	31
Douglas Dunn	1,302	49 88	90 41	48 34	51 795	14 7	47 52	16	30 5	41 56	152 159
Eau Claire	3,748	176	227	165	2,181	53	195	13	62	91	451
Florence	24	1	0	1	5	2	0	0	1	2	11
Fond du Lac Forest	1,400	139 6	99 o	96	496	38	106	3 0	78 0	51 1	252
Grant	25 397	41	108	1 29	0 46	1 15	1 21	1	13	1 28	12 85
Green	271	23	20	49	25	11	19	2	26	18	73
Green Lake	110	12	9	14	33	4	2	1	4	2	24
lowa Iron	176	47 0	21	22	10	6	10	0	9	3 0	47
Jackson	23 101	12	4 3	7 16	7	3 4	9	0	0	2	5 48
Jefferson	786	95	121	70	84	14	69	5	31	37	226
Juneau	174	16	8	23	36	7	7	0	1	7	59
Kenosha Kewaunee	3,310	661	312 9	553 16	80 18	98 2	257	7	103	212	917
La Crosse	95 5 , 266	4 308	357	125	3,058	68	4 194	50	110	3 178	35 524
Lafayette	83	3	6	9	27	0	8	0	3	0	25
Langlade	89	13	8	9	3	8	7	0	5	2	27
Lincoln Manitowoc	175 2,301	15 113	21 53	29 48	22 1,518	5 18	12 34	2 81	5 61	5	51 251
Marathon	7,738	234	226	103	5,644	17	104	202	48	300	592
Marinette	318	62	33	23	22	21	25	0	4	21	100
Marquette	85	10	4	23	0	4	6	8	1	20	17
Menominee Milwaukee	5 37,497	6,486	0 3,014	0 1,952	10,917	0 520	0 1,367	0 1,833	1,601	0 4,645	5,075
Monroe	407	48	29	68	36	18	52	0	12	7	118
Oconto	159	8	18	23	25	5	12	1	3	8	48
Oneida Outagamie	255	54 614	43	24	15	6 68	17 180	0	10	15	67 625
Ozaukee	5,854 1,885	487	410 378	159 79	3,249 74	42	154	45 1	153 64	183 157	376
Pepin	24	0	2	6	0	1	2	0	1	0	11
Pierce	439	31	55	28	72	20	65	4	7	18	138
Polk Portage	249 2,201	16	18	48	1 222	17	21	2	12	11	90
Price	88	152 25	249 6	49 17	1,232 6	43 2	73 2	5 0	21 1	56 3	232 26
Racine	2,708	730	308	231	95	73	213	62	132	252	587
Richland	120	5	24	22	11	0	6	3	2	22	25
Rock Rusk	2,211 70	202 3	273 14	186 10	40 0	46 4	140 2	68 0	190 1	441 7	581 29
St. Croix	1,251	61	80	83	417	28	113	15	41	44	351
Sauk	477	61	71	42	5	14	38	1	21	71	140
Sawyer	77	8	14	7	0	5	7	0	7	0	28
Shawano Sheboygan	252 5,732	10 208	30 176	25 193	45 4,046	21 27	9 81	3 126	6 55	12 279	72 422
Taylor	86	7	7	17	4,040	5	14	120	1	1	30
Trempealeau	153	7	19	24	43	1	10	1	9	5	30
Vernon	144	3	17	28	6	7	18	5	4	6	52
Vilas Walworth	96 1,131	7 140	17 199	12 129	7 64	7 62	7 79	0	1 62	2 67	35 286
Washburn	81	17	7	24	0	2	/9 8	0	0	4	18
Washington	1,815	436	256	174	137	32	114	20	91	98	400
Waukesha	12,549	4,536	2,126	713	302	303	767	253	497	1,122	1,828
Waupaca Waushara	276 142	12 6	38 10	41 28	16 19	9 8	16 5	1	18 1	14 4	82 48
Winnebago	4,434	465	196	176	2,223	49	129	59	83	234	612
Wood	1,472	170	94	108	685	21	95	5	42	58	153
Total	151,513	22,899	16,684	7,930	47,127	2,729	7,919	3,721	4,877	12,165	22,279

Table 11. Racial Composition of Wisconsin, 2000 & 2010												
Page	200	0	201	0	Change 2	000-2010						
Race	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent						
White Alone	4,769,857	90.5%	4,902,067	86.2%	132,210	2.8%						
Black or African American Alone	304,460	5.8%	359,148	6.3%	54,688	18.0%						
American Indian and Alaska Native Alone	47,228	0.9%	54,526	1.0%	7,298	15.5%						
Asian Alone	88,763	1.7%	129,234	2.3%	40,471	45.6%						
Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander Alone	1,630	0.0%	1,827	0.0%	197	12.1%						
Some Other Race Alone	84,842	0.1%	135,867	2.4%	51,025	60.1%						
Two or More Races	66,895	1.0%	104,317	1.8%	37,422	55.9%						
Total	5,363,675		5,686,986		323,311	6.0%						

	Table 12. Hmong and Wisconsin Populations by Age Group, 2000 & 2010													
			Hm	ong					Wisco	nsin				
Age Groups	2000		20	10	Change 2	000-2010	200	00	201	10	Change 2	000-2010		
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent		
Under 5	4,219	12.5%	5,886	12.5%	1,667	39.5%	342,340	6.4%	358,443	6.3%	16,103	4.7%		
5-17	15,060	44.6%	14,387	30.5%	-673	-4.5%	1,026,416	19.1%	981,049	17.3%	-45,367	-4.4%		
18-24	4,340	12.8%	9,173	19.5%	4,833	111.4%	520,629	9.7%	549,256	9.7%	28,627	5.5%		
25-44	6,835	20.2%	11,660	24.7%	4,825	70.6%	1,581,690	29.5%	1,447,360	25.5%	-134,330	-8.5%		
45-64	2,411	7.1%	4,614	9.8%	2,203	91.4%	1,190,047	22.2%	1,573,564	27.7%	383,517	32.2%		
65 and Over	926	2.7%	1,407	3.0%	481	51.9%	702,553	13.1%	777,314	13.7%	74,761	10.6%		
Total	33,791		47,127		13,336	39.5%	5,363,675		5,686,986		323,311	6.0%		

	Table 13. Family and Non-Family Living Arrangements, 2000 & 2010																
			Hm	ong					Wisco	nsin		_					
Living Arrangement	20	00	20	010	Change 2000-2010		2000		2010		Char 2000-	_					
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent					
Family Households	5,108	92.9%	8,178	87.6%	3,070	60.1%	1,386,815	66.5%	1,468,917	64.4%	82,102	5.9%					
Married Couples with Children	3,723	67.7%	4,764	51.0%	1,041	28.0%	493,530	23.7%	443,396	19.4%	-50,134	-10.2%					
Married Couples, no Children	398	7.2%	1,040	11.1%	642	161.3%	615,067	29.5%	687,948	30.2%	72,881	11.8%					
Single Parent	710	12.9%	1,534	16.4%	824	116.1%	171,709	8.2%	204,076	9.0%	32,367	18.8%					
Other Family	277	5.0%	840	9.0%	563	203.2%	106,509	5.1%	133,497	5.9%	26,988	25.3%					
Non-Family Households	391	7.1%	1,159	12.4%	768	196.4%	697,729	33.5%	810,851	35.6%	113,122	16.2%					
Living Alone	248	4.5%	715	7.7%	467	188.3%	557,875	26.8%	642,507	28.2%	84,632	15.2%					
Living with Others	143	2.6%	444	4.8%	301	210.5%	139,854	6.7%	168,344	7.4%	28,490	20.4%					
Total Households	5,499	100%	9,337	100%	3,838	69.8%	2,084,544	100%	2,279,768	100%	195,224	9.4%					

	Table 14. Income Below Poverty Level by Age Group, 2000 & 2006-2010														
		Bel	low Povert	y Level, Hn	nong			Belo	w Poverty L	evel, Wisco	onsin				
Age Group	19	999	200	6-10	Cha	inge	19	99	200	6-10	Cha	Change			
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent			
Under 5 Years	929	25%	1,047	20%	118	13%	43,836	13%	66,456	19%	22,620	52%			
5 Years	257	26%	155	15%	-102	-40%	8,771	12%	11,637	16%	2,866	33%			
6 to 11 Years	2,091	31%	1,126	19%	-965	-46%	52,716	12%	65,974	15%	13,258	25%			
12 to 17 Years	1,755	30%	1,704	23%	-51	-3%	44,843	9%	64,064	14%	19,221	43%			
18 to 24 Years	708	20%	1,965	24%	1,257	178%	88,933	19%	127,298	26%	38,365	43%			
25 to 34 Years	824	20%	668	10%	-156	-19%	54,292	8%	83,030	12%	28,738	53%			
35 to 44 Years	594	22%	482	11%	-112	-19%	49,755	6%	60,778	8%	11,023	22%			
45 to 54 Years	311	22%	587	24%	276	89%	33,029	5%	58,430	7%	25,401	77%			
55 to 64 Years	240	36%	408	29%	168	70%	26,118	6%	42,694	7%	16,576	63%			
65 to 74 Years	146	33%	215	34%	69	47%	18,832	5%	21,824	6%	2,992	16%			
Over 74 Years	73	18%	97	18%	24	33%	30,413	10%	35,428	10%	5,015	16%			
Total	7,928	26%	8,454	20%	526	7%	451,538	9%	637,613	12%	186,075	41%			

Table 15. Employment Status by Sex, 2000 & 2006-2010											
		Hmo	ong			Wisco	onsin				
Employment Status	20	00	2006	-2010	200	00	2006-	2010			
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent			
Male	7,701		13,605		2,030,019		2,193,376				
Not in Labor Force	2,776	36%	3,595	26%	521,740	26%	585,786	27%			
Employed or in Armed Forces	4,418	57%	8,682	64%	1,430,919	70%	1,487,697	68%			
Unemployed	507	7%	1,328	10%	77,360	4%	119,893	5%			
Female	7,681	-	13,462		2,127,011	-	2,265,011				
Not in Labor Force	3,490	45%	4,482	33%	763,186	36%	794,136	35%			
Employed or in Armed Forces	3,883	51%	8,204	61%	1,306,874	61%	1,386,168	61%			
Unemployed	308	4%	776	6%	56,951	3%	84,707	4%			

Table 16. Industry for Employed Civilian Population Age 16 and Over, 2000 & 2006-2010

			Hn	nong					Wisco	nsin		734 -5% 991 6% 911 -12%						
to decators					Change 2000-						Change 2000-							
Industry	20	000	2006	-2010	2006	/2010	200	00	2006	-2010	2006	6/2010						
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent						
Agriculture, forestry,																		
fishing and hunting,																		
and mining	21	0.3%	140	0.8%	119	567%	75,418	2.8%	71,684	2.5%	-3,734							
Construction	116	1.4%	172	1.0%	56	48%	161,625	5.9%	171,616	6.0%	9,991	6%						
Manufacturing	4,193	50.5%	7,214	42.9%	3,021	72%	606,845	22.2%	536,934	18.7%	-69,911	-12%						
Wholesale Trade	170	2.0%	325	1.9%	155	91%	87,979	3.2%	86,908	3.0%	-1,071	-1%						
Retail Trade	805	9.7%	1,597	9.5%	792	98%	317,881	11.6%	329,863	11.5%	11,982	4%						
Transportation and																		
warehousing, and																		
utilities	87	1.0%	248	1.5%	161	185%	123,657	4.5%	130,387	4.5%	6,730	5%						
Information	123	1.5%	252	1.5%	129	105%	60,142	2.2%	56,076	2.0%	-4,066	-7%						
Finance and																		
insurance, and real																		
estate and rental																		
and leasing	296	3.6%	1,048	6.2%	752	254%	168,060	6.1%	182,526	6.4%	14,466	9%						
Professional,																		
scientific, and																		
management, and																		
administrative and																		
waste management																		
services	265	3.2%	846	5.0%	581	219%	179,503	6.6%	218,788	7.6%	39,285	22%						
Educational services,																		
and health care and																		
social assistance	977	11.8%	2,436	14.5%	1,459	149%	548,111	20.0%	631,818	22.0%	83,707	15%						
Arts, entertainment,																		
and recreation, and																		
accommodation and																		
food services	776	9.3%	1,574	9.4%	798	103%	198,528	7.3%	238,223	8.3%	39,695	20%						
Other services,																		
except public																		
administration	340	4.1%	793	4.7%	453	133%	111,028	4.1%	115,426	4.0%	4,398	4%						
Public																		
Administration	132	1.6%	184	1.1%	52	39%	96,148	3.5%	99,061	3.5%	2,913	3%						

Table 17. Educational Attainment for the Population 25 and Over by Sex, 2006-2010

			Hm	ong					Wisc	onsin		
Education	Ma	ale	Fen	nale	Both :	Sexes	M	ale	Fen	nale	Both 9	Sexes
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
No Schooling Completed	1,580	19.6%	2,966	38.0%	4,546	28.6%	11,470	0.6%	13,523	0.7%	24,993	0.7%
Some Schooling, no HS Diploma	660	8.2%	731	9.4%	1,391	8.8%	195,442	10.7%	174,975	9.1%	370,417	9.9%
High School Diploma	2,491	30.8%	1,396	17.9%	3,887	24.5%	628,073	34.4%	644,246	33.7%	1,272,319	34.0%
Some College	1,590	19.7%	1,272	16.3%	2,862	18.0%	368,818	20.2%	402,917	21.1%	771,735	20.6%
Associate's Degree	574	7.1%	602	7.7%	1,176	7.4%	153,417	8.4%	181,950	9.5%	335,367	9.0%
Bachelor's Degree	1,002	12.4%	678	8.7%	1,680	10.6%	303,773	16.6%	337,372	17.6%	641,145	17.1%
Graduate or Professional Degree	183	2.3%	170	2.2%	353	2.2%	164,425	9.0%	158,842	8.3%	323,267	8.6%
Total	8,080		7,815		15,895		1,825,418		1,913,825		3,739,243	