## Projecting Public School Enrollment in Wisconsin, 2023

Every three to five years, the Applied Population Laboratory at the University of Wisconsin-Madison prepares a statewide enrollment projections study to provide public school administrators, regional planners, and state officials with information regarding the past, current, and future direction of public school enrollment in Wisconsin.

This report examines public school enrollment decline since the 2013-14 school year and projects future enrollment incorporating demographic trends and the pandemic's impact. Projections are provided in total; by elementary, middle, and high schools; and by city, suburb, town, and rural locale through 2032-2033.

## Executive Summary

Between 2013-14 and 2022-23, 69\% of Wisconsin's school districts experienced enrollment decline, a higher percentage than the prior ten years, when $59 \%$ of districts decreased. Wisconsin's public school enrollment fell by nearly 53,000 (-5.8\%) from 2013-14 to 2022-23. The decline accelerated during the three years of the pandemic, dropping 3\% in the first year alone. Since the 2019-20 school year, Wisconsin's public schools have over 33,500 fewer students or a $-3.8 \%$ decline.

Across the past decade, the number of kindergarteners enrolling in school is fewer than the number of graduating high school seniors with the gap widening each year. The popularity of the four-year old kindergarten program in many districts throughout the state has meant that declines in total enrollment have not been as severe as they may have been without the program. However, during the pandemic the state saw a considerable decline of four-year old kindergartners as well as five-year-old kindergarten.

Enrollment decline has not occurred uniformly across the state over the last ten years. Suburban districts have seen the least amount of decline with only $43 \%$ of districts decreasing. Other locations have fared worse: 68\% of town districts, $72 \%$ of rural districts, and $89 \%$ of city districts experienced enrollment decline over the last ten years. Many rural districts rebounded from the pandemic much better than other areas of the state.

Based on the cohort progression method, projections are provided for statewide $4 \mathrm{~K}-12$ enrollment by grade grouping and by city, suburb, town, and rural locale. The projection models suggest that there will be a decrease in total enrollment for the state with an annual decline of $1.4 \%$. Most of the decline is predicted to be in high schools followed by elementary schools. Middle school enrollment will decline the least of the conventional grade groupings.

Although some individual districts will see growth, collectively each locale type will experience enrollment decline for the foreseeable future. In aggregate, city school districts are projected to decrease the most, dropping by $2.3 \%$ annually. Town and rural districts are projected to decline annually by $1.3 \%$ and $1.0 \%$, respectively. Suburban districts are projected to experience the least amount of decline with an annualized decrease of $0.8 \%$.

## Past Public School Enrollment

The most recent peak in public school enrollment was in the 1997-98 school year when the majority of the Millennial generation was school age. Since that time, statewide enrollment has declined as smaller cohorts advanced to school age. Examining the last ten years of $3^{\text {rd }}$ Friday enrollment in the 421 school districts, an annual decline of $0.4 \%$ occurred through 2019-20, then a more significant drop happened during the pandemic with public school enrollment decreasing by 3.8\% between 2019-20 and 2022-23. Enrollment of all grade groupings has been declining over the last ten years, especially in the elementary grades K-5.


Figure 3 shows four-year-old kindergarten enrollment holding steady until the first year of the pandemic when a significant drop occurred. Conversely, kindergarten enrollment has steadily decreased, especially during the pandemic. In Figure 4, kindergarten replacement offers a snapshot of how the age structure of the state's enrollment is shifting. Districts tend to experience overall growth when kindergarten enrollment outpaces outgoing seniors, and they see decline when kindergartners do not fully replace the number of graduates. In the past ten years, the gap between statewide incoming kindergarteners and outgoing $12^{\text {th }}$ graders has widened from approximately 5,750 in 2013-14 to nearly 14,000 in 2022-23. This demonstrates one of the main causes of Wisconsin's enrollment decline as fewer numbers of young children enroll in public schools.



## Components of Population Change

The shifting age structure of the general population, trends in migration, and births impact the number of school-age children in the state. Figure 5 shows Wisconsin's population by age and sex in the form of population pyramids for 2010 and 2020. These pyramids illustrate how the state's population is aging. Population counts age 55 and older increased, while population counts ages 0 to 19 declined from 2010 to 2020. Wisconsin's total population increased by 323,000 (6.0\%) in the 2000s but only grew by 207,000 (3.6\%) in the 2010s. In 2020, while Wisconsin ranked $20^{\text {th }}$ in the United States for total population, it ranked $11^{\text {th }}$ among all states for its population age 55 and older.

One component of population change is natural change (i.e., births minus deaths). Figure 6 illustrates Wisconsin's natural change from 2007 to 2021. Until 2019, a natural population increase diminished as births declined each year. Then, in 2020, the state experienced its first documented year of natural decrease when deaths exceeded births in the first year of the pandemic. In 2021, only a small natural increase occurred.

Figure 5: Age Structure, 2010 \& 2020



On the following page, Figure 7 shows the total number of births by Wisconsin mothers from 2000 to 2021. Births increased for a short period of time in the early 2000s, but beginning with the 2007-2009 Great Recession, births began to decline. The state experienced a considerable drop in births during 2020, followed by a slight rebound in 2021.

The other component of population change is net migration (i.e., incoming migrants minus outgoing migrants). Figure 8 provides the net migrants, by age, for the 2010s. Although there was positive net migration among school-age children, the state experienced negative net migration among people ages 25 to 34 . This latter change has an important impact on future projections as women ages 25 to 34 currently have the highest fertility rates among those of childbearing age. A smaller proportion of residents in this age group may result in fewer young children in Wisconsin.


Source: DHS

In sum, Wisconsin's population is shifting older. While the Baby Boom population continues to age in place, Millennials are delaying or have forgone having children, and the following generation, only recently advancing to their childbearing years, maintain attitudes that may signify fewer children being born. As the nation emerges from the pandemic and the economy improves there may be the potential for increasing numbers of births over the next five years. However, if current birth trends continue, fewer students in Wisconsin's public schools will likely endure.

## Data and Projections Methodology

The Applied Population Laboratory's projections are based on observed and projected state births along with 4K-12 public school enrollment histories (public charter schools are not included). Enrollment is composed of student counts in public schools, by grade, on the third Friday of each September from the 2013-14 school year through the 2022-23 school year, as reported by the Wisconsin Department of Public Instruction (DPI). Data on past births are from the annual tabulations from Wisconsin Department of Health Services (DHS).

Projections are generated for statewide 4K-12 public school enrollment by grade grouping and by locale code and include a five-year and a three-year trend model. Historical and projected birth data is used to predict the number of four-year old kindergartners and five-year-old kindergarten students who will enroll in public schools. A linear regression based on the last seven years of observed births was used for projecting future births. Actual births (2015-2021) and projected births from the regression (2022-2028) determine future kindergartners.

Enrollment projections are separated by location utilizing the National Center for Education Statistics (NCES) locale codes. As defined by NCES, 18 are classified as city (except Kenosha has been shifted from suburb to city), followed by 76 as suburb, 91 as town, and 236 as rural. On the following page, the map (Figure 9) and the bar charts (Figure 10) show the breakdown of the number of districts classified as city, suburb, town, and rural and the percentage of public school students enrolled in these district locations in 2022-23.


Although the majority of land in the state is rural, the majority of students attend city or suburban schools. City districts make up only $4 \%$ of the total number of districts, but their enrollment comprises $31 \%$ of public school students. Suburban districts make up $18 \%$ of districts and $27 \%$ of students. Town districts are roughly equal in their percentage of districts and percentage of students, comprising $22 \%$ of districts and $21 \%$ of students. Rural districts are over half of all districts, making up $56 \%$ of districts but only $20 \%$ of students.

The cohort progression method is used to project school enrollment, by grade, ten years into the future. Specifically, the average ratio of grade progression from one grade to the next is generated in order to progress cohorts of students through the school system over time. A ratio of births to kindergarteners is used to project kindergarten enrollment. These average ratios (five-year and three-year) are used to project future enrollment.

Because the pattern of entry and exit from grade to grade are relatively consistent over time at the state level, the grade progression ratios for each grade transition remain relatively stable. To moderate the significant enrollment decline of the first year of the pandemic, these ratios are excluded in the five-year trend model. The ratios remain in the three-year trend model. The five-year trend can be viewed as the "best case" scenario of higher enrollment, while the three-year trend can be considered the "worst case" scenario of lower enrollment.

## Future Public School Enrollment

Figure 11 shows the total ( $4 \mathrm{~K}-12$ ) statewide enrollment projections, as well as the conventional grade groupings (K-5, 6-8, and 9-12). From 2022-23, public school enrollment is projected to decline by $6 \%$ to $9 \%$ in five years, dropping by over 10,000 students annually. Grades K-5 are predicted to decrease by 5\% to 9\%, grades $6-8$ by $4 \%$ to $8 \%$, and $9-12$ by $7 \%$ to $9 \%$. School projections are most reliable over a five-year time horizon because most future students have already been born or are already in school. Nonetheless, by trending births forward and extending the projections time frame, total $4 \mathrm{~K}-12$ enrollment may fall $11 \%$ to $16 \%$, grades K-5 by $11 \%$ to $15 \%$, grades $6-8$ by $10 \%$ to $17 \%$, and grades $9-12$ by $10 \%$ to $15 \%$ in ten years. Tables in Appendix A (page 8) show the projected numerical enrollment totals.

Figure 11





## Future Enrollment by Location

Below, Figure 12 illustrates the projections by NCES locale code. From 2022-23, city districts are projected to decrease the most in five years, declining by $10 \%$ to $13 \%$, while suburban districts are predicted to decrease the least, dropping by $3 \%$ to $5 \%$. Town districts are projected to fall by $5 \%$ to $8 \%$, and rural districts may lose about 5\%. As noted above, longer-range projections are less reliable, but if patterns hold true, city districts
may decline $18 \%$ to $24 \%$, suburban districts $5 \%$ to $10 \%$, town districts $9 \%$ to $14 \%$, and rural districts $9 \%$ in ten years. Tables in Appendix A (page 8) show the projected numerical enrollment totals.
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## Conclusion

As Wisconsin faces the demographic realities of an aging population, declining births, and fewer public school students due to the pandemic, many traditional 4K-12 school districts will face challenges in the coming years. Decline will likely occur at all grade levels, and no area of the state will be spared from some decline. The total statewide public school projections may be viewed with confidence over the next few years. As with nearly all types of forecasts, reliability in these enrollment projections decreases over time.

The Applied Population Laboratory (APL) is a group of research professionals at the University of WisconsinMadison specializing in population studies and geographic data analysis. For twenty-seven years, the APL has been working with Wisconsin's individual school districts on demographic analyses and school enrollment projections. For more information contact Sarah Kemp, Researcher, at the Applied Population Laboratory, Department of Community and Environmental Sociology at (608) 265-6189 or kemp@wisc.edu.

## Appendix A

TABLE 1
Summary of 4K-12 Projections

|  | $\mathbf{2 3 - 2 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 4 - 2 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 5 - 2 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 6 - 2 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 7 - 2 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 8 - 2 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 9 - 3 0}$ | $\mathbf{3 0 - 3 1}$ | $\mathbf{3 1 - 3 2}$ | $\mathbf{3 2 - 3 3}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Average | 795,031 | 784,342 | 771,325 | 759,479 | 748,131 | 738,371 | 729,016 | 719,410 | 709,344 | 698,866 |
| Five-Year Trend | 798,292 | 789,867 | 779,166 | 769,591 | 760,395 | 752,659 | 745,128 | 737,136 | 728,466 | 719,197 |
| Three-Year Trend | 791,770 | 778,817 | 763,483 | 749,366 | 735,866 | 724,082 | 712,903 | 701,684 | 690,222 | 678,535 |

TABLE 2
Summary of K-5 Projections

|  | $\mathbf{2 3 - 2 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 4 - 2 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 5 - 2 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 6 - 2 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 7 - 2 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 8 - 2 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 9 - 3 0}$ | $\mathbf{3 0 - 3 1}$ | $\mathbf{3 1 - 3 2}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Average | 323,247 | 319,257 | 314,057 | 309,407 | 304,463 | 300,323 | 296,099 | 291,726 | $\mathbf{2 8 8 , 1 7 8}$ |
| Five-Year Trend | 325,018 | 322,592 | 318,736 | 315,174 | 311,012 | 307,437 | 303,111 | 298,634 | 295,015 |
| Three-Year Trend | 321,477 | 315,921 | 309,379 | 303,641 | 297,913 | 293,209 | 289,087 | 284,818 | 281,342 |

TABLE 3
Summary of 6-8 Projections

|  | $\mathbf{2 3 - 2 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 4 - 2 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 5 - 2 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 6 - 2 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 7 - 2 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 8 - 2 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 9 - 3 0}$ | $\mathbf{3 0 - 3 1}$ | $\mathbf{3 1 - 3 2}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Average | 170,627 | 168,389 | 166,922 | 165,372 | 164,437 | $\mathbf{1 6 2 , 3 4 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 6 0 , 1 2 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 7 , 0 6 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 3 , 9 3 8}$ |
| Five-Year Trend | 171,101 | 169,410 | 168,499 | 167,550 | 167,318 | 165,939 | 164,833 | 162,567 | 159,994 |
| Three-Year Trend | 170,154 | 167,368 | 165,345 | 163,193 | 161,555 | $\mathbf{1 5 8 , 7 5 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 5 , 4 2 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 1 , 5 6 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 4 7 , 8 8 1}$ |
|  |  |  | 145,527 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

TABLE 4
Summary of 9-12 Projections

|  | $\mathbf{2 3 - 2 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 4 - 2 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 5 - 2 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 6 - 2 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 7 - 2 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 8 - 2 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 9 - 3 0}$ | $\mathbf{3 0 - 3 1}$ | $\mathbf{3 1 - 3 2}$ | $\mathbf{3 2 - 3 3}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Average | 258,954 | 255,863 | 249,575 | 244,053 | 239,242 | 236,403 | 234,186 | 232,711 | 230,012 | 226,995 |
| Five-Year Trend | 259,005 | 256,095 | 250,226 | 245,289 | 241,159 | 239,087 | 237,697 | 237,157 | 235,389 | 233,790 |
| Three-Year Trend | 258,904 | 255,630 | 248,923 | 242,817 | 237,325 | 233,719 | 230,674 | 228,265 | 224,635 | 220,200 |

TABLE 5
Summary of City District Projections

|  | $\mathbf{2 3 - 2 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 4 - 2 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 5 - 2 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 6 - 2 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 7 - 2 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 8 - 2 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 9 - 3 0}$ | $\mathbf{3 0 - 3 1}$ | $\mathbf{3 1 - 3 2}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Average | 245,848 | 240,521 | 234,042 | 228,198 | 222,537 | 217,754 | 213,132 | 208,498 | 204,009 |
| Five-Year Trend | 247,304 | 242,870 | 237,261 | 232,246 | 227,341 | 223,268 | 219,255 | 215,141 | 211,098 |
| Three-Year Trend | 244,392 | 238,172 | 230,823 | 224,150 | 217,733 | 212,240 | 207,009 | 201,854 | $\mathbf{1 9 6}, 920$ |

TABLE 6

|  | $\mathbf{2 3 - 2 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 4 - 2 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 5 - 2 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 6 - 2 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 7 - 2 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 8 - 2 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 9 - 3 0}$ | $\mathbf{3 0 - 3 1}$ | $\mathbf{3 1 - 3 2}$ | $\mathbf{3 2 - 3 3}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Average | 219,739 | 217,948 | 216,017 | 214,096 | 212,282 | 210,849 | 209,316 | 207,723 | 205,990 | 204,245 |
| Five-Year Trend | 220,405 | 219,139 | 217,768 | 216,402 | 215,113 | 214,214 | 213,183 | 212,059 | 210,743 | 209,348 |
| Three-Year Trend | 219,073 | 216,756 | 214,265 | 211,790 | 209,452 | 207,485 | 205,448 | 203,388 | 201,238 | 199,141 |

TABLE 7

|  | $\mathbf{2 3 - 2 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 4 - 2 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 5 - 2 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 6 - 2 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 7 - 2 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 8 - 2 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 9 - 3 0}$ | $\mathbf{3 0 - 3 1}$ | $\mathbf{3 1 - 3 2}$ | $\mathbf{3 2 - 3 3}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Average | 169,346 | 167,138 | 164,510 | 162,164 | 160,215 | 158,305 | 156,654 | 155,115 | $\mathbf{1 5 3 , 0 6 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 1 , 2 7 3}$ |
| Five-Year Trend | 170,031 | 168,317 | 166,224 | 164,432 | 163,027 | 161,622 | 160,416 | 159,256 | 157,534 | 155,999 |
| Three-Year Trend | 168,661 | 165,959 | 162,797 | 159,896 | 157,403 | 154,989 | $\mathbf{1 5 2 , 8 9 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 0 , 9 7 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 4 8 , 6 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 4 6 , 5 4 6}$ |

TABLE 9
Summary of Rural District Projections

|  | $\mathbf{2 3 - 2 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 4 - 2 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 5 - 2 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 6 - 2 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 7 - 2 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 8 - 2 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 9 - 3 0}$ | $\mathbf{3 0 - 3 1}$ | $\mathbf{3 1 - 3 2}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Average | 160,118 | 158,754 | 156,914 | 155,363 | 153,699 | 152,370 | 151,146 | 149,692 | 148,259 |
| Five-Year Trend | 160,316 | 159,049 | 157,280 | 155,762 | 154,119 | 152,769 | 151,527 | 150,052 | 148,595 |
| Three-Year Trend | 159,919 | 158,458 | 156,549 | 154,965 | 153,279 | $\mathbf{1 5 1 , 9 7 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 0 , 7 6 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 4 9 , 3 3 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 4 7 , 9 2 3}$ |

